ORIGINAL

DeGuzman.rsp 1 LEONARDO M. RAPADAS United States Attorney KARON V. JOHNSON 3 Assistant U.S. Attorney Suite 500, Sirena Plaza AUG 25 20059P 108 Hernan Cortez Avenue 5 Agana, Guam 96910 MARY L.M. MORAN Telephone: (671) 472-7332/7283 Telecopier: (671) 472-7334 6 **CLERK OF COURT** 7 Attorneys for United States of America 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM 10 CRIMINAL CASE NO. <u>00-00052</u> 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff, GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO** 13 VS. **DISMISS** 14 ROLAND ASPRER DEGUZMAN, 15 Defendant. 16 17 **SUMMARY OF FACTS** 18 Defendant was a lesser player in a large-scale drug ring headed by Mario Mercader and 19 Edith Fuller. Their operation was the subject of an OCDETF investigation (Operation One-20 Pass), with DEA the lead agency. Both Mercader and Fuller have fled to the Philippines; the 21 United States is attempting to return them to Guam for trial. 22 Mercader had worked on the Lufthansa Services Group (LSG) graveyard shift in the early 23 1990s, and realized that the daily Continental flights from Manila to Guam provided an ideal 24 mechanism for smuggling ice. The flights arrived about 4:30 a.m. daily and were serviced by 25 LSG employees, who boarded them to restock the plane's beverages. The aircraft returned to 26 Manila later the same day. Mercader devised a scheme whereby he would purchase ice in the 27

Philippines and hire couriers to carry it from Manila to Guam. Before deplaning, however, and running the gauntlet of Guam Custom's drug dogs and inspection teams, the couriers would hide the ice in designated seats, or in one of the aircraft lavatories. Mercader paid members of LSG's graveyard shift to retrieve the ice when they boarded the aircraft to service it, and carry it out of the airport at the end of their shift. The plan was virtually fool-proof, because there were no procedures to search employees leaving the airport. Mercader employed other people to receive the ice from the LSG employees, and sell it. By the time the DEA closed down his ring, Mercader had every member of the LSG graveyard shift on his payroll.

The investigation started in early 1997 with the arrest of three individuals who were trafficking for Mercader, one of whom agreed to cooperate with the government and laid out the logistics of Mercader's operation. During successive arrests and prosecutions, and by offering the opportunity for "substantial assistance," the DEA and this office were able to infiltrate the organization and identify its members. By February, 1999, the government had arrested, convicted and secured the cooperation of Merna Zabala, Juanito Portacio, Barbara Gaza, Allen Candelaria, Edgardo Remedios, Jose Golveo, Pablo Madlangbayan, Roy Eay and Tanya Goolsby. It had identified another ten members of the ring and was actively building cases against them. All these defendants pled guilty to informations, and all the cases were sealed, so that DEA could continue to penetrate the organization without being detected.

Defendant was working the LSG graveyard shift when the Manila flight arrived on February 4, 1999. Guam Customs had identified two of Mercader's couriers as passengers on the flight. After these two men deplaned, Guam Customs retrieved eight packages of ice from their seats, and replaced them with sham. Officers then mounted surveillance on the aircraft and observed the defendant and Roland Mora board it for servicing. After defendant and Mora left the aircraft, an officer verified the sham had been removed. Defendant and Mora were then arrested, and advised of their rights. Defendant at first denied any knowledge of these scheme and was released. On February 5, 1999, he returned to the DEA office and admitted his

24 25 26

27

28

involvement. His signed statement is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. He admitted removing ice from Continental aircraft on several occasions at the behest of fellow-LSG employees Rome Delima, Roland Mora and Rexileto Glory. He said he was paid \$1,500 per flight for his services.

Mora cooperated immediately upon his arrest and was also released. He later consented to a search of his residence, which led to the recovery of more ice, \$31,460 cash and the eventual conviction of his roommate. In seeking Mora and the defendant's cooperation, the government pursued the same strategy it had employed for the other members of the Mercader ring. It requested the court to appoint attorneys provisionally so that Mora and DeGuzman could understand just how much trouble they were in, and determine whether it would be in their interest to plead guilty and attempt to provide substantial assistance. Roland Mora, represented by counsel Jay Arriola, pled guilty to an information charging possession with intent to distribute ice on February 17, 1999. Copies of his information, waiver of indictment and guilty plea are attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The plea was entered under seal, and Mora began working with the DEA.

Defendant was offered the same deal: on February 12 the government forwarded a proposed information and plea agreement to his counsel Basil O'Mallen. Copies of that correspondence, information and plea agreement are attached hereto as Exhibit 3. The defendant chose not to work for the government, but rather to leave island. According to Mora, he quit LSG and left. The government has subpoened LSG for his employment records, but the company cannot locate them. The DEA did not look for defendant, or attempt to arrest him, because it was still running this undercover investigation.

Mora proved a valuable resource for the government. With his help, several loads of ice were intercepted and consensually recorded conversations were made between Mora and Edith Fuller in the Philippines. As a result of his cooperation, Richard Cacayurin Gonzales was arrested and pled guilty to an information under seal on July 20, 1999. By April, 2000, the DEA had built strong cases against the central distributors, Mario Mercader, Editha Fuller and Danny

19

20

15

16

17

21 22 23

25 26

24

28

27

Marquez. The operation was closed down and several people were indicted in addition to Fuller and Mercader: the defendant: June Nario Quindo, Cynthia Sulpacio, Larry Blas, Rexielto Glory, Don Crisologo, Romulo Delima, and Danny Marquez. Fuller was arrested in the Philippines a week ago; Mercader is still in hiding, but every other person has pled guilty and been sentenced, with the exception of the defendant. Some are still in a federal penitentiary, others are on supervised release. They are all available to testify at defendant's trial.

The defendant was indicted April 26, 2000. The DEA should have submitted a "Form 202" to the U.S. Marshals Service to ensure the warrant was entered into the NCIC system, and a nation-wide alert placed for him. This was not done until 2004, when in response to repeated inquiries from this office, the Marshals Service checked the NCIC and realized defendant had not been entered. Deputy Marshal David Punzalan entered his warrant and biographical data May 6, 2004. See Exhibit 4. In the meantime Operation One-Pass was closed, and the case agent, Erfel Mantanguihan, returned to his duties with the Guam Police Department. The DEA assumed defendant's warrant was in the system. No one attempted to locate any of his relatives on Guam to learn his whereabouts because Mora had told S/A Mantanguihan defendaat had fled to the Philippines. He was not arrested until May of this year, at the Guam International Airport. Thus, the post-indictment delay in this case is slightly over four years.

THE LAW

The government concedes negligence in failing to arrest the defendant more promptly. Although he had left Guam, no effort was made to contact his family members to try to ascertain his whereabouts. Moreover, his passport reflects that he passed through INS inspection at the Guam International Airport June 26, 2003. See Exhibit 5. Had his warrant been in the NCIC, he would have been arrested then, at the very latest.

To no one's surprise, he has filed this motion to dismiss for violations of his Sixth Amendment right to a speedy trial due to post-indictment delay.

Whether defendant's post-indictment right to speedy trial has been violated is determined

by four considerations, set forth in Barker v. Wingo, 407 U.S. 514, 530 (1972): "Length of delay, the reason for the delay, the defendant's assertion of his right, and prejudice to the defendant." Id. at 530. "Until there is some delay which is presumptively prejudicial, there is no necessity for inquiry into the other factors that go into the balance. Nevertheless, because of the imprecision of the right to speedy trial, the length of delay that will provide such an inquiry is necessarily depending upon the peculiar circumstances of the case." Id. The reason for delay is also important. "A deliberate attempt to delay the trial in order to hamper the defense should be weighted heavily against the government. A more neutral reason such as negligence or overcrowded courts should be weighted less heavily," Id. at 531. Prejudice "should be assessed in the light of the interests of defendant which the speedy trial right was designed to protect. This Court has identified three such interests: (i) to prevent oppressive pretrial incarceration; (ii) to minimize anxiety and concern of the accused; and (iii) to limit the possibility that the defense will be impaired. *Id.* at 532. Finally, none of these four factors "is either a necessary or sufficient condition to the finding of a deprivation of the right to speedy trial. Rather, they are related factors and must be considered together with such other circumstances as may be relevant." *Id.* at 533. Under this standard, the defendant had the burden of showing actual prejudice to prove a violation of his post-indictment speedy trial rights.

<u>Doggett v. United States</u>, 505 U.S. 647 (1992) created a significant exception to this requirement. There, eight years passed between the time of the indictment and defendant's arrest. The delay was entirely the government's fault, and the defendant could not be faulted for not demanding his speedy trial rights, because he did not know he had been charged. The district court, however, had denied his motion to dismiss because he could not make any affirmative showing that the delay had prejudiced him.

The Court first noted that this delay was "presumptively prejudicial," thereby triggering a judicial examination of his claim. The length of delay is important, because "the presumption that pretrial delay has prejudiced the accused intensifies over time." *Id.* at 652. In view of the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

inexcusable eight-year delay, the Court held that the defendant did not have to demonstrate prejudice, but rather it would be presumed, and the government would have to "persuasively" rebut it. *Id.* at 658.

A delay of as little as 13 months is presumptively prejudicial and triggers a <u>Barker</u> inquiry. <u>United States v. Murillo</u>, 288 F.3d 1126 (9th Cir. 2002). Even a six-month delay presents a "borderline case." <u>United States v. Valentine</u>, 783 F.2d 1413, 1417 (9th Cir. 1986). A post-indictment delay of four years obviously does so. The issue is whether, under all the circumstances, <u>Barker</u> requires the government to prove that his defense has not been impaired by this delay, and whether the government can do so.

The first two factors establish an excessive delay which was largely due to the government's negligence. The third factor, whether defendant exercised his rights to a speedy trial, is not so clear. In this case, he knew that he was going to be charged with drug trafficking: he could either plead guilty now, or if he declined to attempt to give substantial assistance, be charged later. United States v. Aguirre, 994 F.2d 1454 (9th Cir. 1993) is somewhat on point. There, the defendant was in England when he was advised a complaint had been filed against him in California. He obtained an affidavit from the Embassy asking for a continuance. But when he returned to California, he did not contact the authorities or make any inquiry into the status of the case. He moved to Arizona and was arrested five years later on an indictment. The district court found that he knew of the charges against him and failed to answer them. The Ninth Circuit counted this against him. "Aguirre could have, at any moment, ended the delay and avoided any prejudice caused by the passage of time. He only had to get in touch with federal authorities and tell them his whereabouts, as he promised to do. Failing to assert his speedy trial right, Aguirre's inaction contributed to the delay; accordingly, he is entitled to no presumption of prejudice." Id. at 1458. The court required Aguirre to actually show he had been prejudiced by the delay, which he was unable to do. In Aguerre, however, the district court had found that the government was diligent in seeking the defendant, a factor missing here.

27

Were this court to find that the burden of proving prejudice has been shifted, so that the government bears the burden of proving the delay has not prejudiced him, the government submits that it can do so. The traditional factors to show prejudice are oppressive pretrial incarceration, anxiety and concern of the accused, and the possibility that his defense will be impaired. Doggett, 505 U.S. at 654. Here, there has been almost no pretrial incarceration, and if defendant claims he believed the charges were being dropped, he can hardly have suffered anxiety. Furthermore, there is no possibility of prejudice to his defense. Like the defendant, all of his fellow employees on the LSG night crew were involved in unloading ice from the Continental Manila flights. ALL of his fellow employees have pled guilty and are available for trial. The government still has all of its evidence. Defendant was caught with Mora as they unloaded a shipment; thus, alibi cannot apply. Defendant confessed to his involvement with Mora; were he to claim duress, for example, Mora is available as a witness. Given the facts of this case, it is difficult to foresee what defense defendant could mount to this charge, but whatever it may be, it will not be affected by the passage of four years.

For the reasons stated above, defendant's motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted this <u>25th</u> day of August, 2005.

LEONARDO M. RAPADAS United States Attorney Districts of Guam and CNMI

By:

KARON V. JOHNSON Assistant U.S. Attorney CONTARY STATEMENT OF: Roland DEGUZMAN Initials: 🧘

FILE NUMBER: RB-97-0004 / XNA3I

DATE OF INTERVIEW: February 05, 1999

ΓΙΜΕ OF INTERVIEW: 4:15 p.m.

DICTATING AGENT: S/A Jon Anderson

WITNESSING AGENT: TFA Michael Aguon

I am giving the following free and voluntary statement to the above agents, who have identified themselves to me as Agents of the Drug Enforcement Administration. I have been advised that I have the right to remain silent, that any statement I make may be used against me in court or other proceedings, that I have a right to talk to a lawyer before making this statement, that if I cannot afford or otherwise obtain a lawyer one will be appointed for me by the U.S. Magistrate or court, and that I may stop this statement at any time for the purpose of consulting with a lawyer. No threats, force, or promises of rewards have been used to obtain this statement.

started working at Lufthansa Sky Chefs, Harmon, Guam in April of 1996 as a Delivery Leadman (Delivery ľ My duties were to "strip and service" the incoming airplanes for all the airlines on Guam. About six months ago, I was approached by Roland MORA and Rome DELIMA, who both work for Lufthansa Sky Chefs, to pick up "drugs" from an airplane. I told them I wanted to think it over. The two never asked me after that. It was about four months ago when I was inside a Continental Airlines airplane stripping and serving with MORA, I was asked again by MORA to take drugs off the airplane. MORA asked me to take from underneath a passenger seat somewhere in the middle section of a Boeing 757. I decided to do what MORA asked me to do after MORA insisted and rushed me. I lifted the cushion from its seating and I saw four packages that were dark brown in color and approximately eight inches in length and two inches in width. When the servicing cabin descended to the truck, I gave MORA the four packages when we were inside the service truck. MORA then placed it inside a back pack in the truck. We drove back to the company and MORA then got out and headed in the direction of his truck while I continued to clean the truck we drove in. About one week later, MORA gave me \$1,500.00 in U.S. currency at work. This was for extracting the four packages of drugs from the plane. During the times I was employed at Lufthansa Sky Chefs, I have been approached by MORA to bring in drugs from the Philippines and also to take drugs from the airplane. Rex GLORY asked me once to take drugs off the airplane and DELIMA also asked me once to take drugs off the plane. During those propositions, I only took drugs off the airplane once. I never knew what kind of drug they were asking me to take off the airplane nor did I know what kind of drug I took off the airplane as well. RD

On February 04, 1999, at about 6:15 a.m., after completing the strip and service of Continental Airlines 912, we were stopped by law enforcement officials in our service truck as we were exiting the airport security gate. When we were stopped, the agents found drugs in a garbage bag at the rear service cabin and immediately took MORA and myself in for questioning. I was later arrested for my knowledge and participation of drugs being smuggled in from the Philippines. RD

HAVE READ THE FOREGOING STATEMENT. I HAVE INITIALED EACH PAGE AS WELL AS ALL CORRECTIONS. THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I HAVE GIVEN THIS STATEMENT FREELY AND VOLUNTARILY WITHOUT THREATS, COERCION, OR PROMISES.

Land de Dugman 2-5-99 5:30 P.M

Signature

Date / Time

02.05.99 5:32gm

Dictating Agent

Date / Time

Witnessing Agent/

Date / Time

Page(s):

Initials:

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION			Page 1 of 2		
	rogram Code	2. Cross File	Related Files	3. File No. RB-97-0004	4. G-DEP Identifier XNA3 I
5.	By: TFA Robert D. Negrito Agana, Guam			6. File Title MERCADER, Mario	F. et. al.
7.	Closed Requested Action Completed Action Requested By:			8. Date Prepared February 5, 1999	
9.	9. Other Officers: TFA Michael A. Aguon				
10	10. Report Re: Arrest of Roland Asprer DE GUZMAN on February 4, 1999.				

SYNOPSIS:

On February 4, 1999, Guam Customs Officers located and retrieved about 800 grams(gross weight) of suspected "ice" aboard a Continental aircraft. Agents from the U.S. Customs, Guam Customs Contraband Enforcement Team, Drug Enforcement Administration Task Force conducted a controlled delivery and subsequently (2) TWO Lufthansa employees were taken into custody by the airport.

DETAILS:

- 1. Reference is made to previous reports submitted under file RB-97-0004.
- 2. At about 7:28am., February 4,1999, while at the Guam Customs Contraband Enforcement Team(CET) office, TFA Negrito and TFA Michael A. Aguon advised Roland A. DE GUZMAN of his constitutional rights using the Guam Customs Custodial Interrogation Form inwhich he acknowledged by signing the waiver of rights portion. Refer to attached Custodial Interrogation Form.
- 3. At about 7:35am., TFA Negrito and Aguon interviewed DE GUZMAN. In a summary DE GUZMAN mentioned that he has no knowledge of how the "ice" got in their truck and claims his innocence. Refer to DE GUZMANs written statement form attached.
- 4. At about 11:00am., January 24, 1999, while at the GRO, TFA Negrito arrested Roland Asprer DE GUZMAN for Conspiracy to Import a controlled substance, Importation of a controlled substance. DE GUZMAN was transported to the Agana Holding Facility to processed and then confined.

11. Distribution:	12. Signature (Agent)	13. Date
Division SARI/NII	TFA_Robert D. Negrito	2-5-99
District	14. Approved (Name and Title)	15. Date
Other	RAC James L. Mincey	2549

DEA Form - 6 (Jul. 1996) - 6 DEA SENSITIVE
Drug Enforcement Administration

	REPORT OF INVESTIGATION	1. File No. RB-97-0004	2. G-DEP Identifier XNA31
(Continuation)		3. File Title	
4.	Page 2 of 2	MERCADER, Mari	o F et.al.
5. Progra	nn Code	6. Data Prepared February 5, 19	99

INDEXING SECTION:

1. DE GUZMAN, Roland Asprer: NADDIS unknown, male-Filipino DOB: May 04,1955 SSN:586-09-1791 HT: 5'5" WT:1601bs Brown eyes, Blk/Gry hair ADD: 167 Pandan Court, Liguan Terrace, Dededo, Guam (H) (671)637-8137 (W) (671) 646-5868

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION			Page 1 of		
ogram Code	2. Cross File	Related Files	3. File No. RB-97-0004	4. G-DEP Identifier XNA31	
5. By: S/A Jon Anderson At: Guam resident Office		6. File Title MERCADER, Mario F. et. al.			
7. Closed Requested Action Completed Action Requested By:			8. Date Prepared February 05, 1	999	
9. Other Officers: TFA Michael Aguo	n				
10. Report Re: INTERVIEW OF ROLAN	ND DEGUZM	AN ON 02-05-	99.		

DETAILS

- 1. Reference is made to all ROIs under this file number and title, in particular, DEA ROI "ARREST OF INTERVIEW OF ROLAND DEGUZMAN ON 02-04-99, by TFA Michael Aguon, dated 02-05-99,
- 2. On February 05, 1999, at approximately 4:15 p.m., S/A Jon Anderson and TFA Aguon re-interviewed Roland DEGUZMAN at the DEA GRO after his release from prison. DEGUZMAN wanted to recant his 02-04-99 interview in which he denied any involvement in a crystal methamphetamine (a.k.a. "ice") smuggling ring by employees of the Lufthansa Sky Chefs (LSC), Harmon, Guam. The interview was a dictation of DEGUZMAN's interview. DEGUZMAN requested the ents type his statement because of his poor writing abilities.
- 3. DEGUZMAN said that he first started to work for LSC in April of 1996 as a Delivery Lead man (Delivery Driver). It was about six months ago that DEGUZMAN was approached and asked at separate times by Roland MORA, Rex GLORY and Rome DELIMA, who are also employees of LSC, to smuggle drugs off airplanes originating from the Philippines. DEGUZMAN told the two men he will think it over. DEGUZMAN was also asked by the men to travel, via Continental Airlines, to the Philippines and smuggle in "ice". He declined their offer.
- 4. It was about four months ago when DEGUZMAN and MORA were inside a Continental Airlines 757 airplane striping and servicing when MORA asked DEGUZMAN to take four packages out from underneath a passenger seat somewhere in the middle section of the airplane. DEGUZMAN took out the four packages and handed the packages to MORA while the two were inside the service truck. About one week later, MORA gave DEGUZMAN \$1,500.00 in U.S. currency for his

11. Distribution: Division SARI / NII	12.Signature (Agent) S/A Jon Anderson	13. Date 02-05-99
District	14. Approved (Name and Title)	15. Date
Other	RAC James L. Mincey	2.599

-A Form (Aug. 1994) -6

	REPORT OF INVESTIGATION	1. File No. RB-97-0004	2. G-DEP Identifier XNA3 I
(Continuation)		3. File Title	
4.	Page of	MERCADER, Mari	o F. et. al.
5. Program Code		6. Date Prepared February 05, 1	999

part in taking the packages off the airplane. DEGUZMAN said the packages were dark brown in color and about eight inches in length and two inches in width.

4. DEGUZMAN told the agents he never knew what type of drug the men were smuggling off the airplane only that he knew it was illegal. DEGUZMAN said he never knew that there was drugs in Continental Airlines Flight 912 until agents stopped MORA and him at the Guam International Airport security gate where their LSC service truck was searched by the agents.

INDEXING

- 1. DEGUZMAN, Roland; NADDIS # pending; previously described.
- 2. MORA, Roland; NADDIS # pending; previously described.
- 3. GLORY, Rex; NADDIS # pending; previously described.
 - . DELIMA, Rome; NADDIS # pending; previously described.

Mora.Inf 2 FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney FILED 3 KARON V. JOHNSON DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM Assistant U.S. Attorney 4 Suite 502-A, Pacific News Bldg. FEB 1 6 1999 238 Archbishop Flores St. 5 Agana, Guam 96910 MARY L. M. MORAN Telephone: (671) 472-7332/7283 6 Telecopier: (671) 472-7334/7215 **CLERK OF COURT** 7 Attorneys for United States of America 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM 10 CRIMINAL CASE NO 9 - 00024 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff, INFORMATION 13 ATTEMPTED POSSESSION OF vs. ļ METHAMPHETAMINE HYDROCHLORIDE ROLAND JOSEPH BALANGON MORA, WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE 15 [21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)] Defendant. 16 17 THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES: 18 On or about February 4, 1999, in the District of Guam, the 19 defendant herein, ROLAND JOSEPH BALONGON MORA, did unlawfully and 20 knowingly attempt to possess approximately 850 grams gross weight 21 of methamphetamine hydrochloride, also known as ice, a Schedule 22 23 ΙI 24 11 25 11 26 11 11 11

GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT

28

//

controlled substance, with the intent to distribute said ice, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2 and 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). day of February, 1999. FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney Districts of Guam and CNMI Assistant U.S. Attorney

Before,

DETRICT COURT OF GUAN

United States District Court FEB 1 6 1999

FOR THE	_ DISTRICT OF	GUAM	WARY LM MORAN
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. ROLAND JOSEPH BALANGON MORA	W	AIVER OF IN	NDICTMENT
	CASE NU	MBER: 99-	00024
, ROLAND JOSEPH BALANGON MORA	, the a	bove named-defer	ndant, who is accused of
ATTEMPTED POSSESSION OF MET TO DISTRIBUTE, IN VIOLAT	HAMPHETAMINE HYDI	ROCHLORIDE WITH	
being advised of the nature of the charge(s	s), the proposed info	ermation, and of r	ny righte harahy waiya
in open court onFebruary 16, 1999			•
in open court on February 16, 1999 prosecution by indictment and consent that the Date			
proceeding may be by information rather than by indictment.			
RECTIVED FEB 17 1999 Som 1134 San 1.5. Anomey's Office Dismor of Guarr	Defendan Gounsei f	art	PH BALANGON MORA QUIN C. ARRIOLA, JR.
/s/clohn S. Unpingco Docum	nent 24 Filled 08	/25/2005 Page	e 16 of 20

Mora.ple

FREDERICK A. BLACK
United States Attorney
KARON V. JOHNSON
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Suite 502-A, Pacific News Bldg.
238 Archbishop Flores Street
Agana, Guam 96910

FILED
DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

FEB 1 7 1999

MARY L. M. MORAN CLERK OF COURT

Telephone: (671) 472-7332/7283 Telecopier: (671) 472-7334

Attorneys for United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM

10

11

12

13

١.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 99-00024

Plaintiff,

vs.

AMENDED PLEA AGREEMENT

ROLAND JOSEPH BALANGON MORA,

Defendant.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

າ 6

_ 7

15

Pursuant to Rule 11(e)(1)(B), the United States and the defendant, ROLAND J.B. MORA, enter into the following plea agreement:

- 1. The defendant agrees to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea to an Information charging him with Attempted Possession with Intent to Distribute approximately 850 grams gross weight of methamphetamine hydrochloride (ice), in violation of Title 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).
- 2. The defendant, ROLAND J.B. MORA, further agrees to fully and truthfully cooperate with Federal law enforcement agents concerning their investigation of the importation, possession, and distribution of controlled substances and related unlawful

- 3. The defendant, ROLAND J.B. MORA, understands and agrees that any and all assets or portions thereof acquired or obtained by him as a direct or indirect result of illegal trafficking in drugs or used to facilitate such illegal activity shall be surrendered to the United States or any lawful agency as may be directed by the Court. The assets to be surrendered include, but are not limited to cash, stocks, bonds, certificates of deposit, personal property and real property.
- 4. The defendant, ROLAND J.B. MORA, further agrees to submit to a polygraph examination by any qualified Federal polygraph examiner. The defendant understands that such

27

5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1,2

∡3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

polygraph examinations may include, but will not be limited to, his knowledge of or involvement in unlawful drug related activities, his knowledge of others' involvement in unlawful drug related activities, and the identification of any and all assets and conveyances acquired in whole or in part by the defendant or others through unlawful drug related activities or the use of such assets or conveyances to further such unlawful activities. Defendant understands that the government will rely on the polygraph in assessing whether he has been fully truthful.

The defendant, ROLAND J.B. MORA, understands that the maximum sentence for Attempted Possession with Intent to Distribute approximately 850 grams gross weight of methamphetamine hydrochloride (ice) is life imprisonment with a mandatory minimum term of ten (10) years incarceration, a \$4,000,000 fine, and a \$100 special assessment fee, which must be paid at the time of sentencing. Any sentence imposed shall include a term of supervised release of at least five (5) years in addition to such terms of imprisonment. Defendant understands that, if at any time while he is on supervised release he violates one of its conditions, his supervised release may be revoked and he may be subject to an additional term of If defendant cooperates as set forth in Paragraphs imprisonment. 2, 3, and 4, the government will recommend that defendant receive the statutory minimum, if applicable, or minimum term of incarceration recommended by the Sentencing Guidelines, whichever

27

၁ ၀

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

_ 3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In addition, if defendant provides full, truthful, is greater. and substantial assistance to investigating federal agencies, the government will move the Court, as provided by USSG 5K.1.1, and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e), for a downward departure from the Guidelines and the statutory minimum sentence. Defendant understands that "substantial assistance" encompasses such significant and useful assistance directed to the investigation and prosecution of the criminal activities of other persons, as is set forth by USSG Defendant also understands that the decision whether to depart from the Guidelines, and the statutory minimum sentence, and to what degree, is within the discretion of the sentencing The government agrees not to take a position concerning that amount of incarceration the court should impose. defendant does not fully cooperate as set forth in Paragraphs 2, 3, and 4, the government will recommend whatever sentence of incarceration within the Guidelines range it may deem appropriate.

The government will recommend a fine within the Sentencing Guidelines range. If defendant is financially unable to immediately pay the fine in full, defendant agrees to make a full disclosure of his financial status to the United States Attorney's Office by completing a Financial Disclosure Form (OBD-500) for purpose of fixing a monthly payment schedule. Defendant understands that, by law, interest accrues on any remaining balance of the debt.

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

⊥3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

6. The defendant understands that to establish a violation of importation of a controlled substance, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

<u>First</u>: the defendant intended to possess methamphetamine hydrochloride (ice) with the intent to deliver it to another person; and

<u>Second</u>: the defendant did something that was a substantial step toward committing the crime.

- 7. The defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines apply to this offense. The defendant also understands that the facts he stipulates to herein will be used, pursuant to 1B1.2, in calculating the applicable guidelines level, even though the counts underlying this conduct may be dismissed. The Government and the defendant stipulate to the following facts for purposes of the Sentencing Guidelines:
- a. The defendant was born August 22, 1974 and is a citizen of the United States.
- b. If the defendant cooperates with the United States by providing information concerning the unlawful activities of others, the government agrees that any self-incriminating information so provided will not be used against defendant in assessing his punishment, and therefore, pursuant to § 1B1.3 of the Sentencing Guidelines, this information should not be used in determining the applicable guidelines range.
- c. Defendant is an employee of Lufthansa Service Guam.

 Among his duties, he boards planes after they have arrived on

27 !

1 |

Guam and removes the trays, utensils and other items related to food service on the plane. In 1998 he was approached by a person, who asked him to use his position to retrieve bags of ice being imported from the Philippines to Guam aboard the daily Continental flight from Manila. Mora agreed. On three occasions during 1998 and January, 1999, he and confederates removed packages of ice which had been hidden on the plane by couriers traveling from Manila to Guam. On each occasion he delivered the packages of ice to other people working for the person. He would be paid \$1,500 per package. On February 2, 1999, Mora was advised that ice would be secreted in seats on the Continental Manila flight, and he intended to retrieve it.

When Continental flight 912 arrived on Guam February 4, 1999, the passengers deplaned and Guam Customs officers and narcotics dogs made their customary sweep through the plane. Eight packages of ice, approximately 850 grams gross weight, was discovered hidden in seats on the plane. Guam Customs and DEA agents removed the ice and repackaged it with six packages of sham. Later defendant and LSG employee Roland De Guzman boarded the flight and cleared the LSG items from the galleys. Defendant had told De Guzman that the ice was hidden in the seats. De Guzman took five packages of sham from where they were hidden in the seats and put them in the trash cart. Defendant put the trash cart into the LSG truck. He intended to deliver the packages to the person who had directed him to recover them, or

1 |

٦?

_3

to members of his ring.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

⊥3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- d. The defendant understands that notwithstanding any agreement of the parties, the United States Probation Office will make an independent application of the Sentencing Guidelines.

 The defendant acknowledges that should there be discrepancies in the final sentencing guidelines range projected by his counsel or any other person, such discrepancies are not a basis to withdraw his guilty plea.
- The defendant understands that this plea agreement depends on the fullness and truthfulness of his cooperation. Therefore, defendant understands and agrees that if he should fail to fulfill completely each and every one of his obligations under this plea agreement, or make material omissions or intentional misstatements or engage in criminal conduct after the entry of his plea agreement and before sentencing, the government will be free from its obligations under the plea agreement; thus defendant, in addition to standing guilty of the matters to which he has pled pursuant to this agreement, shall also be fully subject to criminal prosecution for other crimes, and for the counts which were to be dismissed. In any such prosecution, the prosecuting authorities, whether Federal, State, or Local, shall be free to use against him, without limitation, any and all information, in whatever form, that he has provided pursuant to this plea agreement or otherwise; defendant shall not assert any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule

26

27

- 9. The defendant understands that his sentencing may be continued, at the sole discretion of the United States, until after the indictment and trial of any associates involved. This will also enable the Court to see the full degree of the defendant's cooperation. The defendant therefore waives any right he may have to any speedy sentencing and hereby agrees to any continuance of his sentencing date as it may become necessary.
- 10. The defendant waives any right to appeal or to collaterally attack this conviction but reserves the right to appeal the sentence imposed in this case.
- 11. The defendant acknowledges that he has been advised of his rights as set forth below prior to entering into this plea agreement. Specifically, defendant has been fully advised of, has had sufficient opportunity to reflect upon, and understands the following:
- a. The nature and elements of the charge and the mandatory minimum penalty provided by law, if any, and the maximum possible penalty provided by law;
 - b. His right to be represented by an attorney;
- c. His right to plead not guilty and the right to be tried by a jury and at that trial, the right to be represented by

3 ب

counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, and the right not to be compelled to incriminate himself, that is, the right not to testify;

- That if he pleads quilty, there will not be a further trial of any kind on the charges to which such plea is entered so that by entering into this plea agreement, he waives, that is, gives up, the right to a trial;
- That, upon entry of a plea of guilty, or thereafter, the Court may ask his questions about the offenses to which he has pled, under oath, and that if he answers these questions under oath, on the record, his answers may later be used against him in prosecution for perjury or false statement if an answer is untrue;
- That he agrees that the plea agreement is voluntary and not a result of any force, threats or promises apart from this plea agreement;

17 //

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

_3

14

15

16

11 18

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 11

23 //

24 //

5 //

26

27

1	g. The defendant is satisfied with the representation
2	of his lawyer and feels that his lawyer has done everything
3	possible for his defense.
4	
5	DATED: 2/17/99 ROLAND J.B. MORA
6	Defendant
7	12/53
8	DATED: JØAQUIN C. ARRIOLA, JR.
9	Attorney for Defendant
10	REDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney
11	Districts of Guam and CNMI
1.2	211 - 11/1/
_3	DATED:
14	Assistant U.S. Attorney
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2 2 2 3	
د ے	

- 10 **-**

24

26

27



United States Attorney's Off Districts of Guam & CNMI OPY

deguz.ltr

HIGHSMITH & O'MALLAN, P.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Suite 502 A, Pacific News Building 238 Archbishop Flores Street Agana, Guam 96910

(671) 472-7332 FAX (671) 472-7334/7215

FEB 1 2 1999

TIME: 4.30 pm

February 12, 1999

J. Basil O'Mallen, III Attorney at Law 134 Chalan Santo Papa, Ste. 204 Agana, Guam 96910

Re: United States v. Roland Asprer DeGuzman

Dear Basil,

DeGuzman and his partner Roland Mora were arrested last week by DEA as they left a Continental plane which they had just serviced for LSG. Eight packages of ice had been discovered on the flight, which just arrived from Manila. DEA had replaced the ice with sham, which ended up in DeGuzman and Mora's service truck.

Although initially both men denied knowing what it was, eventually they both confessed. Because both are in a position to give substantial assistance, we released them as soon as they agreed to cooperate, and asked the Court appoint counsel for them. Jay Arriola is representing Mora; Rose advises me that you would be assigned DeGuzman, as the next attorney up on the panel.

I am enclosing a plea offer, proposed information and reports concerning DeGuzman's statements. Ironically, he appears not to know that there was a load on the February 4 flight. He admitted moving four packages on a December flight he and Mora serviced, so the plea is to that matter.

Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney Districts of Guam and NMI

By:

KARON V. JOHNSON Assistant U.S. Attorney

i**ey** 05 P EXHIBIT

GOVERNMENT

1	DeGuzman.INF	
2	FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney KARON V. JOHNSON	
4	Assistant U.S. Attorney Suite 502-A, Pacific News Bldg.	HIGHSMITH & O'MALLAN, P.C.
5	238 Archbishop Flores St. Agana, Guam 96910	AITORNEYS AI LAW
6	Telephone: (671) 472-7332/7283 Telecopier: (671) 472-7334/7215	FEB 1 2 1999
7	Attorneys for United States of Ameri	ica BY:
8	IN THE U	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9		
10	FOR	THE TERRITORY OF GUAM
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CRIMINAL CASE NO.
12	Disinsion	}
13	Plaintiff,) INFORMATION
14	VS.) DISTRIBUTION OF METHAMPHEMIE) HYDROCHLORIDE
15	ROLAND ASPRER DEGUZMAN,) [21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1)]
16	Defendant.	}
17		
18	THE UNITED STATES ATTORNE	Y CHARGES:
19	During December, 1998, in the	e District of Guam, the defendant herein, ROLAND AS
20		owingly possess with intent to distribute approximately
21	"	The second secon
22	"	
23	"	
24	"	
25	\\	
26	\	
27	"	
28	//	

Case 1:00-cr-00052 Document 24-2 Filed 08/25/2005 Page 8 of 19

1	grams gross weight of methamphetamine hydrochloride, also known as ice, a Schedule II control		
2	substance, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2 and 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).		
3	Dated this day of February, 1998.		
4 5	FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney Districts of Guam and CNMI		
6			
7	By:		
8	KARON V. JOHNSON Assistant U.S. Attorney		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Case 1:00-cr-00052 Document 24-2 Filed 08/25/2005 Page 9 of 19

	DeCore DI E
1	DeGuz.PLE
2	FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney KARON V. JOHNSON
3	Assistant U.S. Attorney
4	Suite 502-A, Pacific News Bldg. HIGHSMITH & O'MALLAN, P.C. ATTORNEYS A L W
5	Agana, Guam 96910 Telephone: (671) 472-7332/7283 FEB 1 2 1999
6	1 elecopler: (0/1)4/2-/334
7	Attorneys for United States of America TIME: BY:
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CRIMINAL CASE NO.
12	Plaintiff, (
13	vs. PLEA AGREEMENT
14	ROLAND ASPRER DE GUZMAN,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	Pursuant to Rule 11(e)(1)(B), the United States and the defendant, ROLAND ASPRER DE
18	GUZMAN, enter into the following plea agreement:
19	1. The defendant agrees to enter a guilty plea to an Information charging him with
20	Distribution of approximately 850 grams gross weight of methamphetamine hydrochloride (ice), in
21	violation of Title 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).
22	2. The defendant, ROLAND ASPRER DE GUZMAN, further agrees to fully and truthfully
23	cooperate with Federal law enforcement agents concerning their investigation of the importation,
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	possession, and distribution of controlled substances and related unlawful activities, including the
26	disposition of profits from and assets relating to such activities. He agrees to testify fully and
27	truthfully before any grand juries and at any trials or proceedings if called upon to do so by the
	United States, subject to prosecution for perjury for not testifying truthfully. The United States will
28	make this cooperation known to the Court prior to the defendant's sentencing. The defendant
q	ase 1:00-cr-00052 Document 24-2 Filed 08/25/2005 Page 10 of 19

7

11

13

16

15

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

further understands that he remains liable and subject to prosecution for any criminal narcotic schemes of which he does not fully advise the United States, or for any material omissions in this regard. In return for this cooperation, the United States agrees not to prosecute defendant for a other non-violent narcotic offenses now known to the government or which he reveals to Federa authorities.

- 3. The defendant, ROLAND ASPRER DE GUZMAN, understands and agrees that any and all assets or portions thereof acquired or obtained by him as a direct or indirect result of illeg trafficking in drugs or used to facilitate such illegal activity shall be surrendered to the United States or any lawful agency as may be directed by the Court. The assets to be surrendered include but are not limited to cash, stocks, bonds, certificates of deposit, personal property and real property.
- 4. The defendant, ROLAND ASPRER DE GUZMAN, further agrees to submit to a polygraph examination by any qualified Federal polygraph examiner. The defendant understands that such polygraph examinations may include, but will not be limited to, his knowledge of or involvement in unlawful drug related activities, his knowledge of others' involvement in unlawful drug related activities, and the identification of any and all assets and conveyances acquired in whole or in part by the defendant or others through unlawful drug related activities or the use of such assets or conveyances to further such unlawful activities. Defendant understands that the government will rely on the polygraph in assessing whether he has been fully truthful.
- 5. The defendant, ROLAND ASPRER DE GUZMAN, understands that the maximum sentence for Distribution of approximately 400 grams gross weight of methamphetamine hydrochloride (ice) is life imprisonment with a mandatory minimum term of ten (10) years incarceration, a \$4,000,000 fine, and a \$100 special assessment fee, which must be paid at the tim of sentencing. Any sentence imposed shall include a term of supervised release of at least five (5) years in addition to such terms of imprisonment. Defendant understands that, if at any time while I is on supervised release he violates one of its conditions, his supervised release may be revoked an he may be subject to an additional term of imprisonment. If defendant cooperates as set forth in

Paragraphs 2, 3, and 4, the government will recommend that defendant receive the statutory minimum, if applicable, or minimum term of incarceration recommended by the Sentencing Guidelines, whichever is greater. In addition, if defendant provides full, truthful, and substantial assistance to investigating federal agencies, the government will move the Court, as provided b USSG 5K.1.1, and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e), for a downward departure from the Guidelines and the statutory minimum sentence. Defendant understands that "substantial assistance" encompasses such significant and useful assistance directed to the investigation and prosecution of the crimin activities of other persons, as is set forth by USSG 5K1.1. Defendant also understands that the decision whether to depart from the Guidelines, and the statutory minimum sentence, and to whether to depart from the Guidelines, and the statutory minimum sentence, and to whether to depart from the Guidelines and the statutory minimum sentence. The government agrees not to take a position concerning that amount of incarceration the court should impose. If defendant does not fully cooperate as set forth in Paragraphs 2, 3, and 4, the government will recommend whatever sentence of incarceration within the Guidelines range it may deem appropriate.

The government will recommend a fine within the Sentencing Guidelines range. If defendant is financially unable to immediately pay the fine in full, defendant agrees to make a ful disclosure of his financial status to the United States Attorney's Office by completing a Financia Disclosure Form (OBD-500) for purpose of fixing a monthly payment schedule. Defendant understands that, by law, interest accrues on any remaining balance of the debt.

6. The defendant understands that to establish a violation of importation of a controlled substance, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 952, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: the defendant knowingly distributed methamphetamine hydrochloride (ice) to another person;

<u>Second</u>: the defendant knew it was methamphetamine hydrochloride or some other prohibited drug.

7. The defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines apply to this offense. The defendant also understands that the facts he stipulates to herein will be used, pursuant to 1B1.2,

calculating the applicable guidelines level, even though the counts underlying this conduct made dismissed. The Government and the defendant stipulate to the following facts for purposes of Sentencing Guidelines:

- a. The defendant was born May 4, 1955, and is a citizen of the United States.
- b. If the defendant cooperates with the United States by providing information concerning the unlawful activities of others, the government agrees that any self-incriminating information so provided will not be used against defendant in assessing his punishment, and therefore, pursuant to § 1B1.3 of the Sentencing Guidelines, this information should not be used determining the applicable guidelines range.
- c. Defendant is an employee of Lufthansa Service Guam (LSG). Among his duties boards planes after they have arrived on Guam and removes the trays, utensils and other items related to food service on the plane. In 1998, he was approached by other employees at LSG asked to smuggle drugs off flights originating from the Philippines. In December, 1998, he and LSG employee Roland Mora were servicing a flight which had arrived from Manila, and which knew contained packages of ice concealed by couriers in certain passenger seats. Defendant retrieved four packages, each approximately 8 inches in length and 2 inches in width, from a designated row of seats. He gave them to Mora, who placed them in the LSG truck and drow them off the airport grounds. Ultimately, Mora delivered them to members of a drug ring. Defendant received \$1,500 from Mora for retrieving the four packages. Defendant did not kn specifically which illegal substance was being smuggled into Guam, but he knew the packages contained a illegal controlled substance.
- d. The defendant understands that notwithstanding any agreement of the parties, the United States Probation Office will make an independent application of the Sentencing Guideling. The defendant acknowledges that should there be discrepancies in the final sentencing guideling range projected by his counsel or any other person, such discrepancies are not a basis to withd his guilty plea.
 - 8. The defendant understands that this plea agreement depends on the fullness and

truthfulness of his cooperation. Therefore, defendant understands and agrees that if he shou to fulfill completely each and every one of his obligations under this plea agreement, or make material omissions or intentional misstatements or engage in criminal conduct after the entry plea agreement and before sentencing, the government will be free from its obligations under plea agreement; thus defendant, in addition to standing guilty of the matters to which he has pursuant to this agreement, shall also be fully subject to criminal prosecution for other crime for the counts which were to be dismissed. In any such prosecution, the prosecuting authoric whether Federal, State, or Local, shall be free to use against him, without limitation, any and information, in whatever form, that he has provided pursuant to this plea agreement or other defendant shall not assert any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or are other provision of law, to attempt to bar such use of the information.

- 9. The defendant understands that his sentencing may be continued, at the sole discrete the United States, until after the indictment and trial of any associates involved. This will also enable the Court to see the full degree of the defendant's cooperation. The defendant therefore waives any right he may have to any speedy sentencing and hereby agrees to any continuance sentencing date as it may become necessary.
- 10. The defendant waives any right to appeal or to collaterally attack this conviction reserves the right to appeal the sentence imposed in this case.
- 11. The defendant acknowledges that he has been advised of his rights as set forth be prior to entering into this plea agreement. Specifically, defendant has been fully advised of, h sufficient opportunity to reflect upon, and understands the following:
- a. The nature and elements of the charge and the mandatory minimum penalty provided by law; by law, if any, and the maximum possible penalty provided by law;
 - b. His right to be represented by an attorney;
- c. His right to plead not guilty and the right to be tried by a jury and at that trial, the right to be represented by counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against I

1				
2	and the right not to be compelled to incrimi	nate himself, that is, the right not to testify;		
3	d. That if he pleads guilty, there will not be a further trial of any kind on the charge			
4	which such plea is entered so that by entering into this plea agreement, he waives, that is, a			
5	the right to a trial;			
6	e. That, upon entry of a plea of guilty, or thereafter, the Court may ask his ques			
7	about the offenses to which he has pled, un	der oath, and that if he answers these questions ur		
8	oath, on the record, his answers may later b	be used against him in prosecution for perjury or fa		
9	statement if an answer is untrue;			
10	f. That he agrees that the plea ag	greement is voluntary and not a result of any force		
11	threats or promises apart from this plea agr	reement;		
12	g. The defendant is satisfied with	h the representation of his lawyer and feels that his		
13	lawyer has done everything possible for his	defense.		
14				
15	DATED:	ROLAND ASPRER DE GUZMAN		
16		Defendant		
17	DATED:	TANCE DACH ORGALI EN M		
18	·	JAMES BASIL O'MALLEN, III Attorney for Defendant		
19		EDEDEDICY A DI ACY		
20		FREDERICK A. BLACK United States Attorney Districts of Guam and CNMI		
21		Districts of Guain and Civivii		
22	DATED: By:	KARON V. JOHNSON		
23		Assistant U.S. Attorney		
24				
25				
26				
27				

From:

Linda Albimino

To:

·4 \$

John Curry

Date: Subject: 6/18/05 2:57am

-

FW: FID #860032

Hi John:

Please see below for the NCIC history on FID #860032.

---Original Message----

From: Newcomb, Larry (USMS) Sent: Friday, June 17, 2005 12:52 PM

To: Albimino, Linda (USMS) Co: Jenkins, Debra (USMS) Subject: RE: FID #860032

Entered by David Punzalan on 5/6/2004. Closed by John Curry on 5/2/2005.

-----Original Message-----From: AlbimIno, Linda (USMS) Sent: Friday, June 17, 2005 12:32 PM To: Newcomb, Larry (USMS) Cc: Jenkins, Debra (USMS) Subject: FID #860032

Hi Larry:

Guam requested a check of the NCIC logs to determine who entered and closed the record on FID #860032 (Roland Asprer DE GUZMAN).

Thank you --

Linda Albimino Analytical Support Unit Investigative Services Division U. S. Marshals Service (202) 307-9265 (202) 307-9650 (fax)





UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE

District of Guam

344 United States District Courthouse

520 West Soledad Avenue

Hagatna, Guam 96910

Office: 671-477-7827 (STAR)

Facsimile: 671-473-9195

TO: K. John	SOL
FROM: John C	ury (5.C.)
DATE:	05
NUMBER OF PAGES:/	Excluding Cover Sheet
COMMENTS: NCIC IN	2
	898-6803
	Cell

GUANCIS AN UNITED STATES TERRITORY. GUAM IS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE INTERNATIONAL DATELINE FROM THE U.S. MAINLAND. WHEN IT IS 12:00 YOON, JANUARY 15T IN LOS ANGELES, IT IS 6:00 AM, JANUARY 20TH ON GUAM. PLEASE NOTE: WHEN THE CONTINENTAL U.S. IS ON DAYLIGHT SAVINGS TIME, ADD ONE HOUR TO THE TIME FOR YOUR RESPECTIVE U.S. REGION.

vetary of State of the United States of A bereby, requests all whem it may concern to permit the citizen mat of the United States named berein to pass without delay or hindrance Appd in case of need to give all lawful aid and protection Le Secrétaire d'Etat des Blats Hon d'A par les présentes toutes autorités compétentes de laisser passer le city engessortissant des Etats-Unit titulaire du présent passeport, sans délaga efficulté et, en cas de besoin, de mi permiter toute aide et protection légals io de Estado de los Estados Unidos de América por el presente sofficisa a les competentes permitir el pass del ciudadano o nacional de los Estados Un brado, sin demora ni dificultades, y en caso de necesidad, prestarle toda la ayuda y proteoci<mark>ón</mark> lícitas. SIGNATURE OF BEARER/SIGNATURE DU TITULAIRE/FIRMA DEL TYTULA NOT VALID UNTIL SIGNED HARIOLADO / NOTICEMBRY / NO LUNITED STATES DE A dekguz nankkrolandkas pres

GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT
Page 88 of 19





















